arXiv:1611.09206v2 [math.CO] 8 Dec 2016

On $\{0,1\}$ CP Tensors and CP Multi-hypergraphs

Changqing Xu*, Zhibing Chen†, and Liqun Qi‡

December 9, 2016

Abstract

A doubly nonnegative matrix can be written as a Gramian matrix, and a completely positive matrix can therefore be written as a Gramian matrix of some nonnegative vectors. In this paper, we introduce Gramian tensors and study 2-dimension completely positive tensors and $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensors. Also investigated are the complete positive multi-hypergraph, a generalized form of a cp graph. We also provide a necessary and sufficient condition for a 2-dimensional tensor to be completely positive.

keywords: Completely positive tensor; $\{0,1\}$ completely positive tensor; multi-hypergraph ; $(0,1)$ tensor.
AMS Subject Classification: 53A45, 15A69.

1 Introduction

Completely positive (cp) matrices have been investigated since 1960s[6, 11, 9, 3, 26], and have been applied in many fields such as computer vision[23, 24], exploratory multiway data clustering[15], inequalities[6], quadratic forms[11], combinatorial designs [3] and optimizations[2, 7, 1]. They are also applied to statistical models[9].

In 1993, Kogan and Berman use graph theory to character the cp matrices[14]. Meanwhile Salce and Zanardo[25] use cp matrices to investigate the positivity of least squares solutions. The study on complete positivity reached its peak in the later of 1980s when Berman and Ando etc. began their systematical study on cp problems[3]. In 2004 the first author[26] presented

*School of Mathematics and Physics, Suzhou University of Science and Technology, Suzhou, P.R. China. Email: cqxurichard@mail.usts.edu.cn.

†College of Mathematics and Computational Sciences, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, P. R. China. Email: zbchen@szu.edu.cn

‡Department of Applied Mathematics, The Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hung Hum, Hong Kong. Email: liqun.qi@polyu.edu.hk

a sufficient and necessary condition for a square matrix to be cp by the theory of convex cone, and then in 2005, together with Berman, he introduced $(0,1)-cp$ matrices, uniform cp matrices and minimal $(0,1)-cp$ matrices[4, 5]. Recently cp matrices have been found useful in pattern recognitions [10, 15] and polynomial optimizations[1, 2, 19].

The high order completely positive tensors were introduced by Qi[21] in 2013. In fact, the third-order cp tensor appeared in 2005 as a symmetric tensor possessing a nonnegative symmetric rank-1 decomposition (also called a cp decomposition in the matrix case), has been studied in 2005 (in the name of multiway array) by Hazan, Polak and Shashua [10, 23, 24] where an algorithm is presented for establishing a completely positive decomposition and is applied to image analysis and multiway clustering.

A cp tensor is a symmetric tensor, which possesses a symmetric rank-one decomposition[13, 21] where each rank-one tensor is nonnegative. The determination of a cp tensor is a NP-hard problem, though, there are some situations when a feasible algorithm possibly exists to settle it[22]. Two kinds of positive(nonnegative) tensors closely related to cp tensors are *doubly nonnegative tensors*[16] and *copositive tensors* [21]. Like the relationship of a cp matrix and its associated graph, a cp tensor is closely related to a hypergraph[17, 20] or a multi-hypergraph whose edge-set allows multi-subsets of its vertex-set [18, 29].

In this paper, we first investigate the cp tensors by introducing the Gramian tensors, and then focus on the even order two-dimensional cp tensors. Then we investigate the $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensors and the associated cp multi-hypergraphs.

A *doubly nonnegative* (dnn) matrix is both entrywise nonnegative and positive semidefinite (psd). We denote the set of all dnn matrices of order n by DNN_n. A matrix $A \in DNN_n$ is called *completely positive* (cp) if there exists a nonnegative matrix $W \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$ for some positive integer d such that

$$A = WW^\top \tag{1.1}$$

The smallest possible number d, denoted $cprank(A)$, is called the *cprank* of A. A is called $\{0,1\}-CP$ if W is a $(0,1)$-matrix. The binary cprank of A is accordingly defined when W is a (0,1) matrix. (1.1) is called a *cp decomposition* of A. A cp matrix may possess many cp decompositions. Obviously all cp matrices are dnn (i.e., $\mathcal{CP}_n \subseteq DNN_n$ for all n) by definition, and $DNN_n = \mathcal{CP}_n$ for all $n \leq 4$ (this is not obvious). The inclusion $\mathcal{CP}_n \subset DNN_n$ becomes proper when $n \geq 5$. For more detail on $\mathcal{CP}$ matrices, we refer to [3].

An $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix A is associated with a graph $G(A) = ([1 \dots n], E)$ such that a pair $(i,j) \in E$ if a_{ij} or a_{ji} is nonzero. A square real

matrix A is said to be a *realization* of a graph G if G is isometric to $G(A)$. A is called a *dnn* (resp. *cp* and *psd* etc.) *realization* of G if A is a dnn (resp. cp and psd, etc.) matrix, and also $G(A) = G$. A graph G is called a *cp graph* if each of its dnn realizations is a cp matrix. Berman and Hershkowtiz show that a graph G is cp if and only if G contains no odd cycle of length greater than 3. A direct corollary of this result is that a dnn matrix whose associated graph contains no long odd cycle is cp. This result is employed to classify 5×5 cp matrices in [28]. The problem of determining the complete positivity of a given dnn matrix of order large than four still remains open [2, 7, 25, 3]. The extension of cp matrices to cp tensors is dated back to 2006 when Shuasha and Hazen[23] present an algorithm for nonnegative tensor factorizations and use it to the image analysis. A formal definition for high order completely positive tensor is introduced by Qi in [21].

We denote $[m \dots n] := \{m, m+1, \dots, n\}$ for any integers m, n satisfying $0 \leq m \leq n$, and $|S|$ for the cardinality of set (or multiset) S, $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ for the set of nonnegative integral vectors of dimension n, and $\mathbb{F}^n$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}^{n\times n}$) the set of all (0,1) vectors of dimension n (matrices of $n \times n$) with $\mathbb{F} := \{0, 1\}$. We also use $\mathbb{R}^n$ to denote the set of real n-dimensional vectors and RR_+^n the set of all nonnegative vectors in $\mathbb{R}^n$. By $\mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ we mean the support of a vector $\mathbf{x}$, i.e., the index set of nonzero coordinates of $\mathbf{x}$. We use $\mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ to denote the set of all mth order n-dimensional real tensors . A tensor $\mathcal{A} = (A_{i_1\dots i_m}) \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ is called *symmetric* if the values of its entries does not alter under any permutation of its subscripts. We denote $\mathbb{S}_{m;n}$ for the set of all mth order n-dimensional symmetric tensors , $\mathbb{F}_{m;n}$ for the set of all mth order n dimensional (0,1) tensors, and $\mathbb{SF}_{m;n}$ the set of all symmetric tensors in $\mathbb{F}_{m;n}$. Inherited from [22], we write

$$S(m, n) := \{\tau = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) : i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m \in [1 \dots n]\}$$

for the index set of an element of an m-order tensor, and denote

$$S(k; m, n) := \{(i_1, \dots, i_m) \in S(m, n) : i_1 + i_2 + \dots + i_m = m + k\}$$

for $k \in [0 \dots N]$ where $N := m(n-1)$. An element $\sigma \in S(m, n)$ is sometimes identified with an m-tuple or m-multiset or an m-permutation chosen from $[1 \dots n]$ with displacement allowed.

Let $A = (A_\sigma)$ be an mth order n-dimensional symmetric tensor where $\sigma \in S(m, n)$ and A_σ denotes an entry of $\mathcal{A}$ indexed by σ. $\mathcal{A}$ corresponds to an m-degree homogeneous polynomial

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv \sum_{j=1} A_{i_1\dots i_m} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_m} \tag{1.2}$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is called a *completely positive* or simply a *cp* tensor if $f_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x})$ can be decomposed as

$$f_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{K} (\beta_j^\top \mathbf{x})^m \tag{1.3}$$

with $\beta_j \in RR_+^n$. If we write $B = [\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_K] \in RR_+^{n\times K}$, then (1.3) is equivalent to a decomposition of form

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_j^m, \quad \beta_j \in RR_+^n \tag{1.4}$$

for $\mathcal{A}$, where the smallest possible number K is called the *cprank*of $\mathcal{A}$, and is denoted *cprank*($\mathcal{A}$). A tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ is called a $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor if $\mathcal{A}$ has a decomposition (1.4) with $\beta_j \in \mathbb{F}^n$, and the corresponding smallest number K is called the *binary cprank* of $\mathcal{A}$, which is denoted $cprank_b(\mathcal{A})$. The following lemma will be used to prove one of the main results (the proof is omitted since it is obvious).

Lemma 1.1. (1) *Let $\mathcal{D} = diag(d_1, \ldots, d_n)\mathbb{SF}_{m;n}$ be a nonnegative integral diagonal tensor. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor with $cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) = d_1 + d_2 + \ldots + d_n$.*

(2) *Let $\mathcal{J} = \boldsymbol{e}^m$ be the all-ones tensor where $\boldsymbol{e}$ is the all-ones vector of dimension n. Then $\mathcal{J}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$ with $cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) = 1$.*

Let $r \in [1 \ldots n]$. A $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is called *r-uniform* provided that $\mathcal{A}$ has a decomposition (1.4) with $|\mathtt{supp}(\alpha_j)| = r$ for all $j \in [1 \ldots K]$. A cp ($\{0,1\}-CP$) tensor is called *minimal cp* (minimal $\{0,1\}-CP$) if it becomes non-cp (non-$\{0,1\}-CP$) when any of its diagonal elements is decreased. The minimal cp tensor and uniform cp tensor are both inherited from the matrix case [4].

Given $\gamma \equiv \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ where

$$\alpha_j = (a_{1j}, a_{2j}, \ldots, a_{nj})^\top.$$

For any $\sigma = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in S(m,n)$ where $1 \le m \le n$, we denote $\gamma_\sigma \equiv \{\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_m}\}$. The *Hadamard product* of γ_σ is vector $\alpha_\sigma := \alpha_{i_1} \odot \ldots \odot \alpha_{i_m} \in \mathbb{R}^r$ whose kth coordinate equals $a_{i_1k}a_{i_2k}\ldots a_{i_mk}$ for $k \in [r]$. The *m-inner product* of γ_σ, denoted $\Lambda_\sigma = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_m})$, is the sum of all coordinates of α_{si}, i.e.,

$$\Lambda_\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\prod_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}) \tag{1.5}$$

When $\alpha = \alpha_{i_1} = \ldots = \alpha_{i_m}$, ([?]) is called the m-inner product of α. An m-norm of a vector α is accordingly defined as

$$\| \alpha \|_m := (\alpha, \ldots, \alpha)^{1/m}$$

where $(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha)$ is the m-inner product of α . A tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ is called an m-order *Gramian tensor* generated by vectors $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=1}^n \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ if it satisfies

$$A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} = (\alpha_{i_1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_m}), \quad \forall \tau := (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in S(m, n) \tag{1.6}$$

Denote $B := [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n]$. The matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ is called the *adjacency matrix* of $\mathcal{A}$.

Given any real matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ and any positive integer $m > 1$, we can generate an m-order Gramian tensor by the column vectors of B. For our convenience, we call such a tensor an $m-$Gramian tensor of B, and denote it by $\mathcal{A} = \mathtt{Gram}^{(m)}(B)$. A second order Gramian tensor $\mathcal{A}$ of matrix B is just a Gramian matrix, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = B^\top B$. Moreover, a cp matrix is a Gramian matrix of a nonnegative matrix.

Example 1.2. *Let $\mathcal{D} = (D_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m})$ be a diagonal tensor of m-order n-dimension, i.e.,*

$$D_\sigma = \lambda_{\bar{\sigma}} \delta_\sigma, \quad \forall \sigma = (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in S(m, n)$$

where $\bar{\sigma} = (i_1 + i_2 + \ldots + i_m)/m, \lambda_j \geq 0$ for each $j \in [1 \ldots n]$ and $\delta_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}$ is the Kroneck number. Denote $D = diag(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ with $d_j = \lambda_j^{1/m}$ for $j \in [1 \ldots n]$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \mathtt{Gram}^{(m)}(D)$. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is a completely positive tensor since D is a nonnegative matrix. Note that cprank($\mathcal{D}$) is exactly the number of nonzero λ_js.

2 Completely Positive Tensors and $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensors

Let $n > 1$ be an integer and $r \in [1 \ldots n]$. An $n \times n$ positive semidefinite (PSD) matrix A of rank r can always be written as a Gramian matrix, i.e., $A = \mathtt{Gram}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ for some linearly independent vectors $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}^r$. We sometimes denote $A = \mathtt{Gram}(B)$ where $B = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{r \times n}$ with $\mathtt{rank}(B) = r$. Thus a square matrix is cp if and only if it is a Gramian matrix of some nonnegative vectors. It is shown that the complete positivity in the matrix case is equivalent to double nonnegativity for $n \in [1 \ldots 4]$. This is also conjectured to be true for the case of high even order tensors. For this purpose, we consider an even order 2-dimensional doubly nonnegative tensor in this section. As to our knowledge, this kind of tensors are very useful in quantum computation.

In order to study Gramian tensors and the doubly nonnegative tensors, we recall the Hölder inequality, which can be restated by the language of m-inner product as

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m \in RR^n_+$. Then*

$$(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m)^m \leq \prod_{j=1}^{m} (\overbrace{\alpha_j, \ldots, \alpha_j}^{m}) \tag{2.1}$$

Furthermore, the equality in (2.1) holds when we have

$$\mathtt{rank}(\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m\}) = 1 \tag{2.2}$$

Note that the equality in (2.1) does not necessarily imply (2.2) for $m > 2$ unless $rank(\mathcal{A}) = 1$, which has been treated in [29]. The following theorem presents a necessary and sufficient condition for an even order tensor to be doubly nonnegative.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ with m an even number. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is dnn if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is a Gramian tensor. Furthermore, if $\mathcal{A} = \mathtt{Gram}^{(m)}(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ with $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}^K$, then $\mathtt{rank}(\mathcal{A}) = K$ where K is the smallest possible number.*

Proof. For sufficiency, we let $\mathcal{A} = \mathtt{Gram}^{(m)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{R}^K$ for some positive integer K. Denote

$$B = (b_{ij}) = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n]^\top = [\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_K]$$

Then $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ where $\beta_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the jth column of B for $j \in [1 \ldots K]$. For any vector $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by the symmetric rank-one decomposition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}\mathbf{x}^m &= \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m} A_{i_1 \ldots i_m} x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{i_1 j} b_{i_2 j} \ldots b_{i_m j}) x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_m} \\
&= \sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m} (\sum_{j=1}^{N} b_{i_1 j} b_{i_2 j} \ldots b_{i_m j} x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_m}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\sum_{i_1,\ldots,i_m} b_{i_1 j} b_{i_2 j} \ldots b_{i_m j} x_{i_1} \ldots x_{i_m}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{ij} x_i)^m \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{N} (\beta_j^\top \mathbf{x})^m.
\end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\mathcal{A}$ is completely positive.

To prove the necessity, we let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{CP}_{m;n}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ can be decomposed as (1.4) for some nonnegative vectors $\beta_j \in RR_+^n, j \in [1 \dots K]$. Denote $\beta_j = (b_{1j}, b_{2j}, \dots, b_{nj})^\top$ for each j, and let $\alpha_i = (b_{i1}, b_{i2}, \dots, b_{iK})^\top$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then $\alpha_i \in RR_+^K$. Now given any $\tau := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) \in S(m, n)$, by (1.4) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} &= (\sum_{j=1}^{K} \beta_j^m)_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{K} b_{i_1 j} b_{i_2 j} \dots b_{i_m j} \\ &= (\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \dots, \alpha_{i_m}) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}$ is the mth order Gramian tensor of vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$. The proof is completed. □

As a corollary of Theorem 2.2, we have

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{ST}_{m;n}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a cp tensor if and only if it is an mth order Gramian tensor of some nonnegative vectors, i.e., there exist some nonnegative vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in RR_+^d$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathtt{Gram}^{(m)}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and the smallest possible number d is the cprank of $\mathcal{A}$.*

For any $r \in [0 \dots m]$, we denote by $S^r(m, 2)$ (or simply S^r if no risk of confusion arises) the subset of $S(m, 2)$ consisting of the elements $\sigma := (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m)$ which have exactly r ones (and thus $m - r$ 2s). Thus S^0 and S^m are resp. the singleton of all-1 m-tuple and all-2 m-tuple. It is obvious that the set of all S^r ($r \in [0 \dots m]$) forms a partition of $S(m, 2)$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{m,2}$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is symmetric if and only if A_σ is constant for each $\sigma \in S^r$ given any $r \in [m] \cup \{0\}$.*

We use a_r to denote the constant A_σ when $\sigma \in S^r$ for $i \in [0 \dots m]$. Then there are at most $m + 1$ distinct elements in $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{ST}_{m,2}$.

Now we investigate $\{0, 1\} - CP$ tensors. We already know that a cp ($\{0, 1\} - CP$) tensor is always strong symmetric, and a $\{0, 1\} - CP$ tensor is surely a nonnegative integral tensor, i.e., each of its entries is a nonnegative integer. A natural question arises:

Problem 2.5. *labelprob1 When does a nonnegative integral strong symmetric tensor become $\{0, 1\} - CP$?*

In the following we will describe such a tensor with dimension two, which can be regarded a special case for the hierarchical diagonally dominant tensor[22].

Theorem 2.6. *Let $\mathcal{A} = (A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m}) \in \mathbb{S}_{m;2}$ be a nonnegative integral tensor, each i_k taking values either 1 or 2. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$ if and only if each off-diagonal element is dominated by the corresponding diagonal element, i.e.,*

$$A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} \leq A_{i_k i_k \ldots i_k}, \quad \forall k \in [m] \tag{2.3}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) = A_{11\ldots1} + A_{22\ldots2} - A_{11\ldots12} \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. For sufficiency, we suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$. Then by (2.2) $\mathcal{A}$ is a Gramian tensor, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = \mathtt{Gram}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{SF}^N$ with $N > 1$ a positive integer. Denote $S_j = \mathtt{supp}(\alpha_j)$ $(j = 1, 2)$. For any given $\tau := (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in S(m, 2)$. The inequality (2.5) is trivial if $i_1 = i_2 = \ldots = i_m$ (equals 1 or 2). Now consider the case when $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m$ are not identical. In this case, we have $B(\tau) = \{1, 2\}$. Thus we have $A_\tau = |S_1 \cap S_2| \leq |S_j| = A_{jj\ldots j}$ for $j = 1, 2$. This proves inequality (2.5).

For the sufficiency, we suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a strong symmetric nonnegative integral 2-dimensional tensor satisfying inequality (2.5). We need to show that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor. For convenience, we denote

$$n_1 = A_{11\ldots1}, \quad n_2 = A_{22\ldots2}, \quad n_{12} = A_{122\ldots2}$$

and let $p = n_1 + n_2 - n_{12}$. Then by (2.5) we have $n_i \geq n_{12}$ and hence $p \geq n_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Now we generate two (0,1)-vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{SF}^p$ such that $S_i = \mathtt{supp}(\alpha_i)$ (i=1,2) with

$$S_1 = [n_1], \quad S_2 = \{1, 2, ..., n_{12}, n_1 + 1, n_1 + 2, \ldots, n_1 + (n_2 - n_{12})\}$$

We can check easily that $\mathcal{A} = \mathtt{Gram}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$.

Now we show that the binary cprank of $\mathcal{A}$ is exactly p. From the construction of α_1, α_2, we have $cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) \leq p = n_1 + n_2 - n_{12}$ since

$$cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) \leq |\mathtt{supp}(\alpha_1) \cup \mathtt{supp}(\alpha_2)| = n_1 + n_2 - n_{12} = p$$

Now write $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{A} - n_{12}\mathbf{e}^m, \mathbf{e} = (1, 1)^\top$. Then $\mathcal{D}$ is diagonal. By using Lemma 1.1 we get $cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) = tr(\mathcal{D}) + n_{12} = (A_{11\ldots1} - n_{12}) + (A_{22\ldots2} - n_{12}) + n_{12} = n_1 + n_2 - n_{12} = p$. □

For any $\sigma \in S(m, n)$, a diagonal element $A_{ii\ldots i}$ is *associated with* A_σ if $i \in B(\sigma)$. By Theorem 2.6 we have

Corollary 2.7. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{S}_{m;n}$ be $\{0,1\}-CP$. Then each of its off-diagonal entries is no larger than any of its associated diagonal entries, i.e.,*

$$A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} \leq A_{i_k i_k \ldots i_k}, \quad \forall k \in [m] \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Let $\tau := (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in S(m,n)$ and let $k \in S = B(\tau)$. Then $A_{kk\ldots k}$ is an associated diagonal element with A_τ. For the case $|B(\tau)| = 1$, the inequality (2.5) is trivial. Now suppose $|B(\tau)| \geq 2$ and let $\{j,k\} \subseteq S$. Consider the sub-tensor $\mathcal{A}_1$ induced by the index set $\{j,k\}$. Then $\mathcal{A}_1$ is also $\{0,1\}-CP$ ([29]). The result follows by Theorem 2.6. □

We note that condition (2.5) is also a sufficient condition to guarantee general complete positivity as stated in the following:

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{S}_{m;2}$ be nonnegative. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is completely positive if for each $\sigma \in S(m;n)$*

$$A_\sigma \leq \min\{A_{ii\ldots i} | i \in B(\sigma)\} \tag{2.6}$$

Furthermore, cprank$(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$, and cprank$(\mathcal{A}) = 3$ if and only if each diagonal element $A_{ii\ldots i}$ is larger than any of off-diagonal elements.

Proof. There are at most three distinct values for a strong symmetric m-order 2-dimensional tensor $\mathcal{A}$, i.e.,

$$a_1 := A_{11\ldots1}, \quad a_2 := A_{22\ldots2}, \quad a_3 := A_{11\ldots12},$$

and all off-diagonal elements are equal to a_3. Thus the condition (2.8) is equivalent to

$$0 \leq a_3 \leq \min(a_1, a_2) \tag{2.7}$$

If $a_3 = 0$, then the result is obvious since a nonnegative diagonal tensor is completely positive as mentioned in [27]. For $a_3 > 0$, we may set

$$b_1 = (a_1 - a_3)^{1/m}, \quad b_2 = (a_2 - a_3)^{1/m}, quad b_3 = a_3^{1/m}.$$

Then b_j's are all nonnegative real numbers due to condition (2.7). We set

$$\beta_1 = [b_1, 0]^\top, \beta_2 = [0, b_2]^\top, \beta_3 = [b_3, b_3]^\top.$$

Then we can verify that $\mathcal{A} = \beta_1^m + \beta_2^m + \beta_3^m$. So $\mathcal{A}$ is completely positive, with *cprank*$(\mathcal{A}) \leq 3$.

□

We shall mention that Theorem 2.8 can also be proved by using our result in [22]. Unfortunately condition (2.8) is not necessary for a tensor to be cp. This fact can be illustrated by consider the following example:

Example 2.9. *Consider $m = 2$ and let*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that A is a completely positive tensor (of order-2 dimension-2) since $A = BB^\top$ if we take

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Recall that a *slice* of a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ is a sub-tensor of order $m-1$ obtained by fixing one of the subscripts. For example, a slice of a 3-order tensor along one of the three directions, say the first, i.e., $A(i,:,:)$, is a matrix. A *zero slice*(all of whose entries are zero) is called a *trivial* slice. Given a nonempty subset $\mathcal{I} := \{s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_r\}$ of $[1 \ldots n]$, a *principal subtensor* $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{I}]$ of $\mathcal{A}$ induced by $\mathcal{I}$ is an m-order r-dimensional tensor $\mathcal{B} = (A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m})$ whose indices i_ks are all constrained in $\mathcal{I}$. A *zero block* is a principal subtensor whose entries are all zero. An irreducible tensor has no zero slice nor any zero block.

It is pointed out in [29] that all the slices and the induced principal subtensors of a *cp* (binary *cp*) tensor are also *cp* (binary *cp*). Based on this point, we present a necessary condition, which is weaker than (2.8), for a tensor to be cp.

Theorem 2.10. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{S}_{m;n}$ be a cp tensor. For any $\tau \in S(m,n)$ with $B(\tau) = \{i,j\}$, we have*

$$A_\tau^2 \le A_{ii\ldots i} A_{jj\ldots j} \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. Let $\tau := (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in S(m,n)$ with $B(\tau) = \{i,j\} \subseteq [1 \ldots n]$. If $i = j$, then inequality (2.8) is obvious. Thus in the following we may assume that $1 \le i < j \le n$, and take $\mathcal{I} = \{i,j\}$. Then the induced subtensor $\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{I}]$ is a 2-dimensional completely positive tensor. We are now confined to $\mathcal{A}_1 := \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{I}]$. Since $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{S}_{m;2}$ is completely positive, there exist some nonnegative vectors $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in RR_+^N$ ($N = cprank(\mathcal{A}_1)$) such that $\mathcal{A}_1 = \texttt{Gram}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2)$. It follows that $A_\tau = (\alpha_{i_1}, \alpha_{i_2}, \ldots, \alpha_{i_m})$ where $B(\tau) = \mathcal{I}$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$A^m_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} \le \prod_{k=1}^{m} A_{i_k i_k \ldots i_k} \tag{2.9}$$

where i_k takes value in $\mathcal{I} = \{i,j\}$. Denote $\tau_i = (i, i, \ldots, i, j), \tau_j = (i, j, \ldots, j, j)$. Then we have $A_\tau = A_{\tau_i} = A_{\tau_j}$ since $B(\tau) = B(\tau_i) = B(\tau_j) = \{i,j\}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ is strong symmetric. By (**??**) we have

$$A^m_{ii\ldots ij} \le A^{m-1}_{ii\ldots ii} A_{jj\ldots jj} \tag{2.10}$$

and

$$A^m_{ij\ldots jj} \le A_{ii\ldots ii} A^{m-1}_{jj\ldots jj} \tag{2.11}$$

Since $A_{\tau_1} = A_{ii\ldots ij} = A_{ij\ldots jj} = A_{\tau_2}$, we have by (2.10) and (2.11)

$$A_\tau^{2m} = A^m_{\tau_1} A^m_{\tau_2} \le (A_{ii\ldots ii} A_{jj\ldots jj})^m$$

which is followed by (2.8) . □

It is not clear yet whether (2.8) is also sufficient for an 2-dimensional nonnegative strong symmetric tensor to be cp.

3 Completely positive multi-hypergraphs

Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{S}_{m;n}$ be a k-uniform $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor and let $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ be a multi-hypergraph associated with $\mathcal{A}$. Denote $A = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r] \in \mathbb{F}^{n\times r}$ (each α_i corresponds to a unique maximal multi-edge of $\mathcal{G}$). Then $\mathcal{A}$ can be expressed as the mth-power of A, denoted by $A^{\odot m}$, in the sense of *Khatri-Rao product*[13], or briefly an m-KR power of A, i.e.,

$$A^{\odot m} = \overbrace{A \odot A \odot \ldots \odot A}^{m}$$

where product $\odot$ is defined as the columnwise Kroneck product. We say that $\mathcal{A} := A^{\odot m}$ has r *k-uniform components* α_j^m. $\mathcal{A}$ is sometimes written as $\mathcal{A} = \sum A^{\odot m}$ where

$$\sum A^{\odot m} := \sum_{j=1}^{r} \alpha_j^m$$

and $A \in RR_+^{n\times r}$ is an k-uniform $\{0,1\}$ matrix. The number k is called the *support* of $\mathcal{A}$ and denoted by $\mathtt{supp}(\mathcal{A})$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \sum(A^{\odot m}) \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ be m-uniform ($2 \le m \le n$) and $\{0,1\}-CP$ with $A = [\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r]$. Let $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ be the multi-hypergraph associated with $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

1. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is a (0,1) tensor, then $n = mr$ and $cprank(\mathcal{A}) \le cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) \le \frac{n}{m}$.*
2. *If $\mathcal{A}$ is an essential (0,1) tensor, then $cprank(\mathcal{A}) \le cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) \le \lceil \frac{n}{k-1} \rceil$.*

Proof. (1). It is obvious that $cprank(\mathcal{A}) \le cprank_b(\mathcal{A})$ since $cprank(\mathcal{A})$ is the least number for all the possible symmetric nonnegative decomposition (1.4), including the $\{0,1\}-CP$ decomposition. Denote $S_j = \mathtt{supp}(\alpha_j)$ for each $j \in [r]$. We want to show the second part of Item (1). Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a (0,1) tensor and there is a pair of positive integers $(p,q)(1 \le p < q \le r)$ such that $S_p \cap S_q \ne \emptyset$. We may assume that $k \in S_p \cap S_q$, i.e., $a_{kp} = a_{kq} = 1$ where a_{ij} is the ith coordinate of α_j. Therefore

$$A_{kk\ldots k} = \sum_{j=1}^{r} a_{kj}^m \ge a_{kp}^m + a_{kq}^m = 2$$

a contradiction to our assumption that $\mathcal{A}$ is (0, 1). Thus $S_p \cap S_q = \emptyset$ for all distinct $p, q \in [r]$. It follows that $\{S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_r\}$ forms a uniform division of $[1 \ldots n]$ with each part possessing m elements. So $mr = n$, and thus $cprank_b(\mathcal{A}) \leq r = \frac{n}{m}$.

(2). Let $\mathcal{A}$ be $\{0,1\}-CP$ and also an essential (0,1) tensor. We now show that $|S_i \cap S_j| \leq 1$ for all distinct $i, j \in [r]$. In fact, if there is a pair $(p, q) : 1 \leq p < q \leq r$ such that $|S_p \cap S_q| \geq 2$, then there exist two different numbers $s, t \in [1 \ldots n]$ such that $s, t \in S_p \cap S_q$. We show that there exists some $\sigma \in S(m, n)$ such that $A_\sigma \geq 2$. Actually if we choose $\sigma = (s, t, t, \ldots, t) \in S(m, n)$, then by (1.4) we have

$$A_\sigma \geq (\alpha_i^m)_\sigma + (\alpha_j^m)_\sigma = a_{si} a_{ti}^{m-1} + a_{sj} a_{tj}^{m-1} = 2$$

The last equality is due to the fact that $s, t \in S_i$ implies $a_{si} = a_{ti} = 1$ and $s, t \in S_j$) implies $a_{sj} = a_{tj} = 1$. This complete the proof. □

Now we assume $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{A})$ be a multi-hypergraph(without isolated vertices) associated with an essential (0,1) tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{S}_{m;n}$ with a decomposition (1.4) where each α_j is a (0,1) n-dimensional vector. Denote $\mathcal{A}^*$ as the pattern of $\mathcal{A}$, i.e., $a^*_\sigma = 1$ if $a_\sigma \neq 0$ for any $\sigma \in S(m, n)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is permutation similar to a direct sum of some irreducible tensors [29], say,

$$\mathcal{A} \sim_p \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{A}_r$$

where $\mathcal{A}_j \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n_i}$ with $n_1 + \ldots + n_{r+1} = n$. Here each $\mathcal{A}_i$ corresponds to a complete block. But the essential (0,1) tensor, if it is $\{0,1\}-CP$, associated with a complete block is a tensor each of whose elements except the diagonal ones is 1. Now we consider any nonnegative tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$, then $\mathcal{A}$ has a decomposition (1.4) where $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{F}^n$ for each $j \in [r]$. An edge $\sigma = \{i_1, \ldots, i_m\} \in S(m, n)$ is called a *maximal edge* of a multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ if $\mathcal{G}$ has no edge ϵ such that $B(\sigma) \subset B(eps)$. We call a multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ an r-uniform multi-hypergraph if all its maximal edges have cardinality r. A multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ is said to have Property R if $\mathcal{D}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{E}$ where

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{E} : B(\sigma) \subseteq B(\alpha)\} \tag{3.1}$$

Property R, first introduced in [29], implies that $\mathcal{G}$ is uniquely determined by the set of its maximal edges.

Example 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a 3-uniform 3-order multi-hypergraph with $V = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and a unique maximal edge $E_m = \{1, 3, 4\}$. Then the (multi-)edges of $\mathcal{G}$ are the following*

$$\{1, 3, 4\}, \{1, 1, 3\}, \{1, 3, 3\}, \{1, 1, 4\}, \{1, 4, 4\}$$

$$\{3,3,4\},\{3,4,4\},\{1,1,1\},\{3,3,3\},\{4,4,4\}.$$

$\mathcal{G}$'s adjacent tensor is a strong symmetric (0,1)tensor$\mathcal{A}=(A_{ijk})\in\mathbb{F}^{4\times4\times4}$ defined as

$$A(:,:,1)=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0\\1&0&1&1\\1&0&1&1\end{bmatrix},\quad A(:,:,2)=0$$

$$A(:,:,3)=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0\\1&0&1&1\\1&0&1&1\end{bmatrix},\quad A(:,:,4)=\begin{bmatrix}1&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0\\1&0&1&1\\1&0&1&1\end{bmatrix}$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{A}=\alpha_1^3$ where $\alpha=(1,0,1,1)^\top$.

A multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ is called a *cp pseudograph* if its adjacency tensor $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G})$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$. In Example 3.2 the multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ has 10 edges, among which there is one *normal edge* $E=\{1,3,4\}$, which is also a maximal edge. Actually for any m-uniform multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ of size n, the largest number of maximum normal edges is $\binom{n}{m}$ among its n^m (multi-)edges. Consider the ratio of the number of normal m-edges to the number of (multi-)edges, i.e.,

$$R(m,n):=\frac{\binom{n}{m}}{n^m}.$$

$R(m,n)$ converges to $R_m:=\frac{1}{m!}$ when $n\to\infty$. For example, $R_3=1/6,R_4=1/24,R_5=1/120,\ldots$. This implies that a multi-hypergraph is much more complicated than a hypergraph.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{G}=(V,\mathbb{E})$ be an m-order multi-hypergraph with $V=[1\ldots n]$ with $m,n>1$. If $\mathcal{G}$ possesses property R and has a unique nonempty maximal edge, then $\mathcal{G}$ is a cp multi-hypergraph.*

Proof. Denote $\mathcal{G}=(V,\mathbb{E})$ and assume that the unique maximal edge E has the base set

$$B(E)=\{i_1,i_2,\ldots,i_r\},\quad 1\le i_1<i_2<\ldots<i_r\le n,1\le r\le m.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{G})=(A_\sigma)\in\mathbb{F}_{m;n}$ be its adjacency tensor. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is a (0,1) tensor. We now prove that $\mathcal{A}$ is actually a $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor with $cprank_b(\mathcal{A})=1$, that is, there is a (0,1) vector $\alpha\in\mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\mathcal{A}=\alpha^m$. For this purpose, we denote

$$D_E=\{\sigma=(j_1,j_2,\ldots,j_m):B(\sigma)\subseteq B(E)\}$$

and let $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\mathtt{supp}(\alpha) = B(E) = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\}$. Thus $a_j = 1$ if and only if $j = i_k$ for some $k \in [r]$. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{A} = \alpha^m$, i.e.,

$$A_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_m} = a_{j_1} a_{j_2} \ldots a_{j_m} \quad \forall \sigma = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m) \in S(m, n) \tag{3.2}$$

In fact, since $a_{j_1} a_{j_2} \ldots a_{j_m} = (\alpha^m)_\sigma = 1$ for any $\sigma = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m) \in S(m, n)$. It follows that $B(\sigma) \subseteq \mathtt{supp}(\alpha) = B(E)$ and thus $\sigma \in D_E$, which implies $\sigma \in \mathbb{E}$ (since $\mathcal{A}$ has Property R). Consequently we have $A_\sigma = 1$.

Conversely we let $A_\sigma = 1$ for some $\sigma = (j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_m) \in S(m, n)$, which is equivalent to $\sigma \in \mathbb{E}$. Thus

$$B(\sigma) \subseteq B(E) = \{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r\} = \mathtt{supp}(\alpha)$$

since E is the unique maximal edge of $\mathcal{G}$. It follows that

$$(\alpha^m)_\sigma = a_{j_1} a_{j_2} \ldots a_{j_m} = 1.$$

The proof is completed. □

A multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ with n vertices and N edges is called an $n \times N$ *multi-hypergraph*. Usually the maximal edges are not unique. In [29], we define the *indicator* of an edge α of $\mathcal{G}$ as the vector $I_\alpha := (w_1, \ldots, w_n)^\top$ in $\mathbb{Z}_+^n$ where w_i denotes the frequency of vertex i in α. An $n \times N$ multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$ is uniquely determined by an $n \times N$ nonnegative integral matrix

$$W = W(\mathcal{G}) := [\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_N]$$

where $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ is the indicator of $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{E}$. W is called *the adjacency matrix* of $\mathcal{G}$. Now we form matrix A associated with W by

$$A = WW^\top \tag{3.3}$$

A can be written equivalently as

$$A = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{u}_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \mathbf{u}_j \mathbf{u}_j^\top$$

which is exactly a $\{0,1\}-CP$ matrix when each $\mathbf{u}_j$ is a (0,1) vector ([4]). A is called an k-uniform cp matrix if $|\mathtt{supp}(W)| = k$, and $\mathcal{A}$ is called an k-uniform $n \times m$ tensor of rank R if $\mathcal{A}$ has a $\{0,1\}-CP$ decomposition (1.4).

Denote

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \{\beta \in \mathbb{E} : \beta \sim \alpha\}, \quad \mathtt{and}\ \mathcal{D}_\alpha := \{\beta \in \mathbb{E} : \beta \prec \alpha\}$$

for any edge $\alpha \in \mathbb{E}$. Let $\Gamma_{\mathcal{G}} := \{\alpha_j | j = 1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ be the set of the maximal edges of $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\left\{\mathcal{D}_{\alpha_j} : j = 1, 2, \ldots, r\right\}$ forms a partition of $\mathbb{E}$. A multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ is said to have Property R if $D_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{E}$ whenever $\alpha \in \mathbb{E}$. In [29] we show that a (0,1) mth order n-dimensional symmetric tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}$ possesses Property R where $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$. We have shown in [29] that a (0,1) tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ can be written as the direct sum of some all-ones blocks. This is equivalent to

$$S_i \cap S_j = \emptyset, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq r \tag{3.4}$$

where $S_k := \mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_k)$ and r is the smallest number for (1.4) to hold.

Given an $n \times N$ multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{G}$. We let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the tensor generated by the Khartry-Rao product of $W \equiv W(\mathcal{G}) = [\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_N]$, i.e., $\mathcal{A} = \overbrace{W \circ W \circ \ldots \circ W}^{m}$, which is defined as (1.4).

We note that a $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor may not be a (0,1) tensor, and a (0,1) tensor can be a non-$\{0,1\}-CP$ even though it is a cp tensor.

A multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{P} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ is called an $m \times n$ multi-hypergraph if $|V| = n$ and each edge of $\mathcal{P}$ is an m-multiset of V. Let $\mathbb{E}_k$ be the subset of $\mathbb{E}$ each of whose largest elements has exactly k distinct elements. We let $\mathcal{P}_k := (V, \mathbb{E}_k)$. For an k-uniform CP tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{CP}_{m;n}$, its associated multi-hypergraph$\mathcal{P}$ always has a k-base.

Given a tensor $\mathcal{A} = (A_\sigma) \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$, A *tensor pattern* $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = (\tilde{A}_\sigma) \in \mathbb{F}_{m,n}$ is a (0,1) tensor satisfying

$$\tilde{A}_\sigma = 1 \Leftrightarrow A_\sigma \neq 0, \quad \forall \sigma \in S(m, n)$$

An mth order n-dimensional real tensor $\mathcal{A} = (A_\sigma) \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ is called a *reducible* tensor if there is a proper subset $\mathcal{I} \subset [1 \ldots n]$ such that

$$a_{i_1 \ldots i_m} = 0, \quad \forall i_1 \in \mathcal{I}, \ \forall i_2, \ldots, i_m \notin \mathcal{I}. \tag{3.5}$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is called *irreducible* if it is not reducible.

Reducibility is a pattern property for tensors. By employing the permutational similarity property, we can decompose any $(0,1)$ reducible tensor into a direct sum of a finite number of low dimensional irreducible tensors and a zero tensor in the permutational similar sense. Before stating this result, some related concepts are recalled here. Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$. We say that $\mathcal{A}$ is *permutational similar* to $\mathcal{B}$, denoted as $\mathcal{A} \sim_p \mathcal{B}$, if there exists a permutation matrix $P \in RR^{n \times n}$ such that

$$\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \times_1 P \times_2 P \times_3 \cdots \times_m P,$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} \times_k P = (\tilde{a}_{i_1 \ldots i_m}) \in \mathcal{T}_{m;n}$ is defined as

$$\tilde{a}_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1} i_k i_{k+1} \ldots i_m} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i_1 \ldots i_{k-1} j i_{k+1} \ldots i_m} p_{i_k j}$$

Utilizing the permutational similarity of tensors, we can build up some identical relation among their corresponding multi-hypergraphs. Let $\mathcal{P}_1 = (V_1, \mathbb{E}_1)$ and $\mathcal{P}_2 = (V_2, \mathbb{E}_2)$ be two given m-uniform multi-hypergraphs with their $(0,1)$ associated tensors $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Then $\mathcal{A} \sim_p \mathcal{B}$ if and only if there exists a bijection ϕ from V_1 to V_2 such that

$$\{i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m\} \in \mathbb{E}_1 \mapsto \{\phi(i_1), \phi(i_2), \ldots, \phi(i_m)\} \in \mathbb{E}_2$$

that is, $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{B})$ is the multi-hypergraph obtained from $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ by the reordering of its vertices, and thus they are identical in this sense.

Let $\mathcal{A}_i = (a^{(i)}_\sigma) \in \mathcal{T}_{m,n_i}, i = 1, 2$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n$. The *direct sum* of $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$, denoted by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 = (a_{i_1 \ldots i_m}),$$

is defined by

$$a_{i_1 \ldots i_m} = \begin{cases} a^{(1)}_{i_1 \ldots i_m} & \text{if} i_1, \ldots, i_m \in [n_1], \\ a^{(2)}_{i_1 \ldots i_m} & \text{if} i_1, \ldots, i_m \in n_1 + [n_2], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here $a + S$ is defined as the translation of set S, i.e., $a + S = \{a + s : s \in S\}$.

Now we are in a position to describe the decomposition for tensors in the sense of permutation similarity.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$, where $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{A} \sim_p \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \mathcal{A}_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{A}_r \oplus \mathcal{O}_{r+1} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n_i}$ is irreducible, $\mathcal{O}_{r+1}$ is a zero tensor of order m and dimension n_{r+1}, and $n_1 + \ldots + n_{r+1} = n$.

Proof. The result is trivial if $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible tensor. Now we assume that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$ is a reducible tensor. We will use induction to prove the desired statement. For $n = 1$, the reducibility implies that $\mathcal{A} = 0$. The statement holds by setting $r = 0$. Assume that for all k satifying $1 \leq l \leq n$ with $n \geq 1$, the statement holds. They for the case of $l + 1$, there exists a nonempty subset I of $[l + 1]$ such that

$$A_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} = 0, \forall i_1 \in I, i_2, \ldots, i_m \notin I \tag{3.7}$$

Let $\mathcal{P} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ be the multi-hypergraph with $\mathcal{A}$ as an associated tensor, and we assume w.l.g. that

$$I := \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_r\}, 1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \cdots < k_r \leq l + 1.$$

Then we let $\phi : [l+1] \to [l+1]$ be an one-to-one correspondence such that

$$\phi(k_i) = i, \quad \forall\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, r.$$

and ϕ maps $[l+1]\backslash I$ to $[l+1]\backslash[r]$. ϕ can be regarded as a permutation on $[l+1]$, and so there is a permutation matrix P corresponding to ϕ. Actually if we define $P = (p_{ij}) \in \mathbb{F}^{(l+1)\times(l+1)}$ by

$$p_{ij} = 1 \quad \texttt{iff}\ j = \phi(i)$$

for each $i \in [l+1]$. It follows readily that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} := \mathcal{A} \times_1 P \times_2 P \times_3 \ldots \times_m P = \mathcal{A}_{11} \oplus \mathcal{A}_{22} \tag{3.8}$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{11} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,r}, \mathcal{A}_{22} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,l+1-r}$. Note that $r,\ l+1-r \leq n$, the desired decomposition can be proved by the induction. □

Lemma 3.4 shows that a tensor $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$ can always be decomposed into the direct sum of irreducible tensors, possibly with a zero block. The following lemma is dedicated to the necessary and sufficient conditions of $\{0,1\}-CP$ property for irreducible $(0,1)$ tensors.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$ be two integers and $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$ be irreducible. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) $\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$.

(2) $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J}$ is an all-1 tensor.

(3) The multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{P}$ associated with tensor $\mathcal{A}$ is a complete block.

Proof. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$ since $\mathcal{A} = \ell^m$ with $\ell = (1, 1, \ldots, 1)^\top$. Conversely,let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$ be a $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor. Then $\mathcal{A}$ has a decomposition (1.4) with

$$\mathbf{u}_j = (u_{1j}, u_{2j}, \ldots, u_{nj})^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n.$$

Then we have

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_m} = \sum_{j=1}^{q} u_{i_1 j} u_{i_2 j} \ldots u_{i_m j}, \quad \forall (i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_m) \in S(m,n).$$

We will first show that $q = 1$ in decomposition (**??**). Suppose that $q > 1$. If there exist a pair of positive integers $(s,t) : 1 \leq s < t \leq q$ such that

$$k \in \texttt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_s) \cap \texttt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_t)$$

for some $k \in [1 \dots n]$, then $u_{ks} = u_{kt} = 1$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_{kk\dots k} &= \sum_{j=1}^{q} u_{kj} u_{kj} \dots u_{kj} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{q} u_{kj}^m \\ &\geq u_{ks}^m + u_{kt}^m = 2 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction to the assumption that $\mathcal{A}$ is a (0,1) tensor. Thus we have

$$\mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_i) \cap \mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_j) = \emptyset, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq q \tag{3.9}$$

Now we define

$$\mathcal{D}_i = \{\sigma \in \mathbb{E} : B(\sigma) \subseteq \mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_i)\}, \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, q$$

Then we get $\{\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}_q\}$ each a subset of $\mathbb{E}$, and

$$\mathcal{D}_i \cap \mathcal{D}_j = \emptyset, \quad \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq q$$

Denote $V_i = V(\mathcal{D}_i)$ and $\mathcal{P}_i := (V_i, \mathcal{D}_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$. Then

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1 \cup \mathcal{P}_2 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{P}_q$$

where $\mathcal{P} = (V, \mathbb{E})$ is the multi-hypergraph associated with $\mathcal{A}$. It turns that $\mathcal{A} \sim_p \mathcal{A}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{A}_q$ where $\mathcal{A}_i$ is the adjacency tensor of $\mathcal{P}_i$, a contradiction to the hypothesis that $\mathcal{A}$ is irreducible. Hence $q = 1$, and thus there exists a vector $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_n)^\top \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $\mathcal{A} = \mathbf{u}^m$.

To prove that $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J} = \ell^m$, we need only to show that $\mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}) = [1 \dots n]$. In fact, if $\mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u})$ is a proper subset of $[1 \dots n]$, then by setting $I = [1 \dots n] \backslash \mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u})$, we show that $\mathcal{A}$ is reducible by definition, which is a contradiction to the hypothesis. Thus $\mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}) = [1 \dots n]$ and $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{J}$. Thus the equivalence between (i) and (ii) is obtained. The remaining part of the lemma is immediate by definition. □

From Lemma 3.5 and its proof, we can get the following equivalent conditions for $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensors.

Theorem 3.6. *Let $m \geq 2, n \geq 1$ be two positive integers. Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$ have no zero blocks and is associated with multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{P} = (V, \mathbb{E})$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *$\mathcal{A}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor.*

(2) *$\mathcal{P}$ can be decomposed as the union of some complete blocks $\mathcal{P}_i$ of size n_i where $n_1 + \dots + n_q = n$.*

(3) *$\mathcal{A}$ can be written in form (??) and with $\boldsymbol{u}_j \in \mathbb{F}^n$ satisfying $U^TU = diag(n_1, \ldots, n_q)$ where $U = [\boldsymbol{u}_1, \ldots, \boldsymbol{u}_q]$.*

Proof. To prove (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2), we first let $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$ be a $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor. Then by Lemma 3.4 $\mathcal{A}$ can be written in form (3.6) where each $\mathcal{A}_i$ is an irreducible $\{0,1\}-CP$ tensor of mth order n_i-dimension (no zero block there since $\mathcal{A}$ has no zero block). By Lemma 3.5, $\mathcal{A}_i$ is associated with a multi-hypergraph $\mathcal{P}_i = (V_i, \mathbb{E}_i)$ where $|V_i| = n_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$, $n_1 + n_2 + \ldots + n_q = n$. For each $i \in [q]$, by Lemma 3.5, $\mathcal{P}_i$ is the complete block of dimension n_i (since $\mathcal{A}_i$ is irreducible and $\{0,1\}-CP$). Thus (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is proved. The proof of (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is immediate if we note that the decomposition (**??**) holds by take $\mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_i) = V_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, q$.

Now we show (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3). First we assume that $\mathcal{A} \in \mathbb{SF}_{m,n}$ is $\{0,1\}-CP$. Then from the proof of Lemma 3.5 there exist some vectors $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that (1.4) holds, and

$$\mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_i) \cap \mathtt{supp}(\mathbf{u}_j) = \emptyset, \forall 1 \leq i < j \leq q \tag{3.10}$$

It follows that $U^TU = diag(n_1, \ldots, n_q)$ for $U = [\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_q]$, where n_i is the positive integer described above. Thus (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) is proved. The other direction can be proved by reversing the above arguments. □

Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the Hong Kong Research Grant Council (No. PolyU 501212, 501913, 15302114 and 15300715). The work was partially done during the first two authors' visit at the Hong Kong Polytechnic University in August of 2016.

References

[1] N. Arima, S. Kim and M. Kojima, *Extension of completely positive cone relaxation to polynomial optimization*, Research Reports on Mathematical and Computing Sciences, B-471, 2013.

[2] S. Burer, K. M. Anstreicher and M. Dür, *The difference between 5×5 doubly nonnegative and completely positive matrices*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 431(9) (2009) 1539-1552.

[3] A. Berman and N. Shaked-Monderer, *Completely Positive Matrices*, Sci. Press, New York, 2003.

[4] A. Berman and C. Xu, $\{0,1\}$ *Completely positive matrices*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 399(2005) 35-51.

[5] A. Berman, C. Xu, *Uniform and minimal* $\{0,1\}$*-cp matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra, 55(5) (2007) 439-456.

[6] P. H. Diananda, *On Nonnegative Forms in Real Variables Some or All of Which Are Nonnegative*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 58(1962) 17-25.

[7] H. Dong and K. Anstreicher, *Separating doubly nonnegative and completely positive matrices*, Mathematical Programming, 137(2013) 131-153.

[8] J. Fan and A. Zhou, *A semidefinite algorithm for completely positive tensor decomposition*, to appear in: Computational Optimization and Applications.

[9] L. J. Gray and D. G. Wilson, *Nonnegative Factorization of Positive Semidefinite Nonnegative Matrices*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 31 (1980) 119-127.

[10] T. Hazan,S. Polak and A. Shashua, *Sparse image coding using a 3D nonnegative tensor factorization*, In ICCV2005: 10th IEEE Intl Conf. on CV, Vol 1 IEEE Computer Society, 2005, pp. 50-57.

[11] M. Hall Jr. and M. Newman, *Copositive and Completely Positive Quadratic Forms*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 59(1963) 329-339.

[12] T. Kolda, *Numerical optimization for symmetric tensor decomposition*, Math. Program., Ser.B, 151 (2015) 225-248.

[13] T. Kolda and B. W. Bader, *Tensor Decompositions and Applications*, SIAM Review, 51(2009) 455-500.

[14] N. Kogan, A. Berman, *Characterization of completely positive graphs*, Discrete Mathematics, 114 (1993) 297-304.

[15] T. Li and C. Ding, *The relationships among various nonnegtive matrix factorization methods for clustering*, Proc. IEEE Intl Conf. on Data Mining (ICDM06), (2006) 362-371.

[16] Z. Luo and L. Qi, *Completely positive tensors: Properties, easily checkable subclasses and tractable relaxations*, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications 37 (2016) 1675-1698.

[17] K.J. Pearson, *Essentially positive tensors*, International Journal of Algebra, 9 (2010) 421-427.

[18] K. J. Pearson and T. Zhang, *On spectral hypergraph theory of the adjacency tensor*, Graphs and Combin., 30 (2014) 1233-1248.

[19] J. Peña, J. Vera and L. Zuluaga, *Completely positive reformulations for polynomial optimization*, Math. Program., Ser. B, 151(2015) 405-431.

[20] K. J. Pearson and T. Zhang, *Eigenvalues of the adjacency tensor on products of hypergraphs*, Int. Journal of Contemp. Math. Sciences, 8(2013) 151-158.

[21] L. Qi, *Symmetric nonnegative tensors and copositive tensors*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications, 439(2013) 228-238.

[22] L. Qi, C. Xu and Y. Xu, *Nonnegative tensor factorization, completely positive tensors and an hierarchically elimination algorithm*, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 35(2014) 1227-1241.

[23] A. Shashua and T. Hazan, *Non-Negative Tensor Factorization with Applications to Statistics and Computer Vision*, International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML), Bonn, Germany, Aug. 2005, .

[24] A. Shashua, R. Zass and T. Hazan, *Multi-way Clustering Using Super-symmetric Non-negative Tensor Factorization*, Proc. of the European Conference on Computer Vision (ECCV) May 2006, Graz, Austria.

[25] L. Salce and P. Zanardo, *Completely positive matrices and positivity of least squares solutions*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 178 (1993) 201-216

[26] C. Xu, *Completely positive matrices*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 379 (2004) 319-327.

[27] C. Xu, M. Yue and X. Li, *Positivities of Vandermonde tensors*, Front. Math. China, 11 (2016) 593-603.

[28] C. Xu, *On* 5×5 *Completely positive matrices*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 379 (2004) 319-327.

[29] C. Xu, Z. Luo, L. Qi and Z. Chen, $\{0,1\} - CP$ *Tensors and multi-hypergraphs*, Linear Algebra and Its Applications 510 (2016) 110-123.